

**Town of Scituate  
Conservation Commission  
Town Hall Selectmen's Hearing Room  
Meeting Minutes  
December 17, 2014**

Meeting was called to order at 6:19 p.m.

**Members Present:** Mr. Snow, Chairman, Ms. Caisse, Mr. Hannon, Mr. Harding, Ms. Scott-Pipes and Mr. Schmid.

**Also Present:** Patrick Gallivan, Agent and Carol Logue, Secretary

**Agenda:** Motion to amend the agenda to include discussion of a kayak/canoe launch; Boy Scout projects, and Wills Island Mr. Schmid. Second Ms. Scott-Pipes. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

**Introduction of Coastal Resource Officer:** Nancy Durfee

The Commission stated they have heard great things. Asked where she came from and how she views her opportunity here. Ms. Durfee: I live in New Bedford; have a regional planning environmental background. Worked with SRPEDD on an environmental review project; The Trustees of Reservations; and Bristol County High School as a Naturalist. Very happy to be here. It is an opportunity for a variety of work where I have experience: elevation grants that have me working closely with MEMA, FEMA, and DCR, and also involved with CRS. Also have GIS/map experience. Started to download data layers, which is helpful in this position. Working with state agencies and the local level targeting grant applications and the hazard mitigation plan. Know a lot of people at MAPC. As far as the FEMA maps, the scientists have not yet determined anything. A panel will be picked by Scituate and Marshfield 2 from each town and FEMA chooses 1. The panel has 190 days to review and Scituate has 30 to decide if the science was good. Mr. Gallivan: Anytime something comes up regarding Peggotty Beach, Central Ave., or Glades marsh, Nancy will be involved. Mr. Harding: what is your biggest surprise? How delightful the staff is. She has worked in many different positions and the staff is helpful and caring. In the process of reading the Conway Report, they did a great job. Looked on the website for any coastal projects. Mr. Snow: They are interested in a coastal project and global warming. Ms. Durfee: They noticed that white cedar should begin to be managed, Linton Harrington on the south coast, can regenerate white cedar from saplings and he has some saplings on hand and can give guidance on the process. Mr. Snow: never got to that section of the South Swamp with the forest people. Deer brose claims a lot. Mr. Harrington has an electric fenced in area, using a solar panel with a battery and it is working. May want to consider something like that. Mr. Snow: Acquisition of the Crosbie piece will give us access. Should get a forester on board to look at the whole area. Jim O'Connell past Agent in Scituate and a member on the Marshfield Conservation had experience with the Conway School and got the project started. We should talk to them and convince the TA. The three students were really sharp. We should keep pushing for that. Ms. Durfee: The red pine should be harvested. The state planted them and they are already taking them out of the Quabbin.

**Request for Determination:** Coulouras & Barry, 20 Monticello Street (fence)

Ada Coulouras and Renee Barry were present at the hearing. Mr. Gallivan: at the advice of legal counsel it was suggested we look at the determination again. Fence doesn't impact the dune. Mr. Snow: the last meeting the majority of the Commission voted for a positive determination. Probably should discuss a little further. The applicant had nothing further to add. Ms. Scott-Pipes: hear what you are saying about the resource area, but when we let people replace fences or stone walls, we are not talking whose land it's on, but the replacement fence has been moved. When the fence goes in the next time, where will it be? Mr. Gallivan: even if was a new fence, it still doesn't impact the resource area, but do understand your point. Mr. Snow: We have allowed this type of work with an RDA; not blocking the flow of the water, nor wave action. With an NOI filing you have to show ownership. DEP said property lines are not part of the RDA process. Wrong location is somebody else's duties, we need to look at the part that is in under our purview; that's important to remember. We do allow plenty of activity (minor) in an altered resource. This place is not by any means natural. Understand there is a mandated position in town for a fence viewer, if that's an avenue someone wants to take. Ms. Scott-Pipes: NOI or RDA, fence or markers, they need to go in the exact spot. Mr. Snow: important to get a permit in advance so we don't end up in this situation. We do encourage people to come to us in advance of any work. Our goal is to try and simplify matters. Long drawn out process when work is done without a permit. There were misunderstandings when they called to tell about the bulldozer. There are rules and regs to follow and it is frustrating when someone else does something wrong and they don't get a permit. Motion for a negative 2 determination Mr. Harding. Second Mr. Schmid. Vote was 3 with 3 abstentions. Mr. Gallivan: there is a certain time frame we have to issue, if lapsed, may be deemed approved.

**Wetlands Hearing:** Stewart, 160 Chief Justice Cushing Hwy. (raze/rebuild)(cont.)

Mr. & Mrs. Stewart were present at the hearing. Abutter notification was submitted. Working on purchasing the property. Have approval of the owner to be here. House had a fire, looking to raze and rebuild on existing foundation, with a deck on the front of the house. Needs a new septic also. Herring River, 50' and 100' buffer are shown on the plan. House is between the 50' and 100' buffer. Cart path that goes through the property. Proposing to restore gravel and replant natural species on portions of the cart path. Taking 2 sheds down, guardrail and septic that is between the 50' and 100' buffer zone. Restoring a number of pieces in the buffer. Deck crosses the 50' buffer, 7' off the ground. Probably 8' sonotubes within the area. Otherwise the only other change is the septic system. Engineering that outside the 100' buffer, pipe from septic is at elevation 15', most of the site is at 9'. Fill along the side of the house. Ms. Scott-Pipes: how much fill? Most of the fill is outside the 100' buffer. Total fill is about 1500 yards, probably 20% or 300 yards are in the 100' buffer. How deep is the fill? Floor of house is closer to 20', fill up to 16'; highest portion 7'. All new contours are shown. Not taking any fill to the edge of the property; not creating a dam. Mr. Snow: pretty sure the town has an emergency easement; the island has rights to the cart path; cars can drive over it. Mr. Schmid: net amount of disturbance between 50' and 100' will be decreased because you are refurbishing other areas. Trying to improve the site. Very impressive. Net gain for the wetlands and areas affected. Mr. Harding: huge net gain on a property that needs real help. Ms. Caisse: looks like a terrific option. Mr. Hannon: any other utility work? Pretty sure water line works for town water. Utility pole currently, would prefer to sink the cable. Make sure it is on the drawing. Understand there is gas. Add to plan and NOI. Commission's interest has more to do with disturbance of the soil. Filed for the Board of Health permit, they have the engineered drawings. Zoning issue now, which could be quite some time. Mr. Snow: Often times we don't close until we have Board of Health approval in case any changes. Field is outside the 100'

buffer. Piece he is most interested in is the deck, don't think we will build if we can't have the deck. Going to add height to the foundation with garage underneath. Slab will be above FEMA flood zone; adding about 6'; it's a good foundation. From an environmental point the deck would go through. Should continue well into January. Don't know Zoning's schedule; it has to do with a delay after a fire. Submit a planting plan and look into the access piece. Mr. Snow: Hoping to make the old railroad bed more accessible, which is beyond the property. Has been talked about for a while and also about putting a bridge over the Herring Brook. There was controversy because of Herring Brook Meadows. Great piece of property owned by all the folks in Scituate. Going to want to have some access. There is a chain across, but not on his property, not opposed to neighbors coming through. The language of the deed reads business on the river. Parking could be at Driftway. Don't want the front yard as a public way. General sense of the Commission - OK with the deck. Motion to continue the hearing to January 21, 2015 at 6:30 p.m. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Show gas line, underground power and landscaping, walkways or anything else on the plan. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

**Wetlands Hearing:** Nagle, 136 Old Forge Road (r/r garage & farmer's porch)\*

Paul Mirabito from Ross Engineering was present at the hearing. Abutter notification was submitted. Purchased a couple of years ago, previous owner installed septic tanks inside the 50' buffer. Isolated wetland right front. Show 50' and 100' buffer. Proposed work shown in blue. Razing existing garage and putting a room above. Farmer's porch at the front of the house. No proposed change in grades. Well outside the riverfront. Proposed silt socks. No other work, all lawn around the house. Chicken coop on the property. Mr. Schmid: where is the 50' buffer? The garage is in the 50'. The deck is outside. Mr. Gallivan: wetland lines look good. Chicken coop appears to be 5' from the wetland; never permitted. Ms. Scott-Pipes: Could they move the chicken coop? Building sits on the leaching field; leaching field was abandoned. It is in the Water Resource Protection District. They have stay dry, which absorbs and they are all fenced in. Mr. Snow: also chickens, could it just be part of the filing? Mr. Gallivan: file an RDA for the chicken coop or include as part of the NOI. In the order we can give a size and a location and they can't change it. Not like they built in the middle of wetlands. We could check with the Water Resource Committee. Sewer system below for a 4 or 5 bedroom house, don't see it adding an impact. Think we just told Mr. Stewart to add utility line and gas line to his plan. They want to get going on the addition. Other possibility is advertise for an amendment. Motion to close the hearing Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Schmid. With the provision to file for an amendment to keep the chicken coop. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Cote, Atlantic Drive – Just want an update. Paul Mirabito: Contacted by owners and attorney. Looked over photos of the property. In the past, quite a bit of debris that has been removed. The last 4 or 5 years it has clearly been used for a parking area. Was asked to look at alternatives. Aware that they removed some fill. Probably do a couple test pits to see if more rubble was buried. Construction debris has been removed. Will be coming back in a couple of weeks. Would it be OK to do a couple of test pits under a Minor Activities Permit? Ms. Caisse: Atlantic side or Central Ave. side? Atlantic side leveled, Central side removed the dune. Plan? No, just photos. Can see where it was leveled off. Some of that fill was put in after storms. We don't want this to go on forever. Asking to find out if there is any other material in the dune. Have to come up with a baseline of what is there. Mr. Schmid: when you say test hole, how large? Sometimes people will come in with a RDA in a sensitive area. Now we do minor activity permits. Think you and Pat can figure out. The ones who are a problem are the ones who don't ask. Ms. Caisse: that's what they did prior to this. Talking about a spot between 2 homes. Seen them pull stoves and frigs out the South River. Should have a member or agent present when the test pits are being done. Agreed. Need to know how much disturbance. They are aware they have to do something. How soon will you be back? Soon after the holidays to do the test pits. Report back January 21.

**Amendment Hearing:** Drinkwater, 7 Barry's Landing (Order #34 – pesticides, etc.)\*

Gregory Morse from Morse Engineering was present at the hearing. Abutter notification was submitted. Requesting amendment regarding Order #34 and discuss Order #35. #34 pertains to no chemical fertilizer, pesticides or herbicide, use. Applicant has a problem as the majority of the site is outside Commission's jurisdiction, he feels that it prevents him from treating the house for any insects or mold conditions. Proposed a condition: "The homeowner shall limit the application and amounts of pesticides and herbicides applied within the wetland buffer zone as outlined in the Scituate Conservation Commission Rules & Regulations. The homeowner shall limit the application of fertilizer within the wetland buffer zone to products allowed by the Scituate Conservation Commission Rules & Regulations." The DEP does not prohibit and the North River Commission allows pesticides within the corridor to comply with rules and regulations of the State Pesticide Board. #35 has to do with watering. Mr. Gallivan: Checked with NSRW strict requirement, no pesticides in the 100' buffer to the natural bank. If we followed something like that, we would be consistent with them. Goal is to reduce the amount of nutrients getting to the river. Ms. Scott-Pipes: would we put in the orders the certain type of chemicals to use and that they would have to be applied by professionals? Should be put in your rules and regulations. Mr. Schmid: reasonable compromise. Ms. Caisse: using organic is better. Mr. Snow: how do we get people to realize where the lines change? Now you have a lawn, somewhere in the middle of the lawn you have a wetland line. Mr. Drinkwater: plant materials are in the buffer zone. Down the line expect to use Tru-Green for the front and side yards which are out of the buffer; the back is mostly in. We don't request a billboard, just a small demarcation to mark the buffer. Is that relevant to the Orders, if we are going to change our regulations? Trying to put posts and/or fences with signs "no disturbance beyond this point" to keep people from impacting the buffer. We appreciate you coming in, instead of just doing it. We have enough problems enforcing Orders. Companies actually have stopped treating how they did in the past. Trying to keep pollution out of the river estuary and the wetlands. Mr. Schmid: Do you want something visible on the ground? Would need something. Address in the regs instead of the orders. Mr. Drinkwater: Not right when you place restrictions on different properties. If someone doesn't file with the Commission, they can use any chemicals; think it should be thought about further. #35: all watering activities shall comply with Water Dept. we understand the intent. Why wouldn't you put other conditions covering other departments? Condition should be removed. Mr. Snow: there are no new sprinkler systems allowed. Mr. Gallivan: going to have to follow Water Dept. regs. People will have to pay attention. Just trying to have something to draw their attention to issues. You have jurisdiction whether or not they file. But when we have a filing in front of us, that's when we can try to make the property/wetlands a little better. We can't get to every house in the town. The standard should be in the rules and regs. Probably #35 can be struck without an issue. Motion to continue to January 21, 2014 at 6:40 p.m. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

**Informal:** Tjia, 37-39 Collier Road

Jenny Tjia, Bob Crawford, Bill Trifoni and Bill Traftska – Two issues: trying to create a conforming lot, 2 different lots that are nonconforming. There is a single house on the property. Existing drainage easement between the properties; needs to be relocated. The property is on the oceanfront 50' setback intersects the existing buildings. There is a stormwater drain, catch basin and drainage manhole, a 10" connecting pipe, rerouting it, and a 15" pipe to manhole Proposing drainage improvements. Manhole is in the 50' buffer. AO flood zone, depth of 1'. Mr. Gallivan: manhole toward Oceanside of berm, hit an obstruction, but nothing visible. Discharge point is the same place. Mr. Snow: do you know if there is any filtering? No traps in the basins, but could be installed. Most of those drains discharge into the seawalls. Because it is an existing drain do we trigger the stormwater bylaw? Whole site is 10,000 sq. ft. Stormwater is triggered at 15,000 sq. ft.

Buildable area: take the two nonconforming lots and make it one conforming lot. Will build up to FEMA regulations. Question of setback. Existing rip rap lies within the setback. Trying to determine the buildable area. Buildable area would be less nonconforming. Widest portion 45' from the mean high water line. Hits the 50' on the southern edge. Typically we look for the top of the coastal bank. Fact that two homes are there, there is a lot of leeway. The important piece is to find the location of the coastal bank. Mr. Gallivan: the beach area has an established top of bank; it is a resource area; measure 50' off that. There are some diagrams that shows different profiles of banks. Mr. Snow: look at the lines of the existing homes and see how much impact. Think you will have a different scenario if you look at the coastal bank. VE flood zone with the new maps. Look at coastal bank and have a formal hearing. Mr. Trifoni: He knows a company that uses herbs for lawn care, your whole yard smells like basil.

**Wetlands Hearing:** Webb, Lot 1 (149) Old Oaken Bucket Road (reconfiguration of dwelling)\*

Gabe Crocker, Civil Engineer, Greg Webb and Josh Bows from Merrill Associates were present at the hearing. Abutter notification was submitted. Came before you informally a month ago. L-shaped custom home. Talked about modifying the driveway to gravel and remove the rain garden. Merrill performed a peer review. Revised and resubmitted a revised plan for consideration. Proposing to replace the approved footprint with an L-shaped footprint 980 sq. ft. larger, with a courtyard. The courtyard will now be porous pavement and instead of replacing paved driveway with gravel, we are proposing to reduce the driveway pavement to 11' instead of 12'. In going through the hydraulic calcs, the rain garden is doing a very good job. Replicating underground became cost prohibitive. 500 sq. ft. less impervious area. Reducing the stormwater volume. The other aspect with narrowing the driveway, proposing to utilizing the extra foot to widen the trench to 2' for better separation to seasonal groundwater. Received a peer review letter from Merrill, addressed his comments and provided a formal response. Joshua Bows: The scope of the work was to review proposed changes and compare with approved plan. Wanted to make sure the new design met the stormwater standards. Asked for additional calcs on the underground roof systems and the driveway. Revised plan is closer to the approved plan. Width of driveway is reduced, also the pervious pavers were implemented. The trench is an improvement, the original was almost in groundwater. There is a condition requiring the driveway to slope away from the bog, think it is a housekeeping matter, may want to update as it conflicts with the approved plan that slopes toward the bog. The proposed trench is designed for a 25 year storm. Provide scour protection on back side of trench to protect erosion from entering the bog area. Paved driveway narrowed to 11' with 1100 sq. ft. of porous pavers for the courtyard. The applicant appreciates the quick work. Motion to close the hearing Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Schmid. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

**Request for Determination:** Loring, 11 Conroy Terrace (phragmites management)\*

Applicant's representative requested a continuance. Motion to continue the hearing to January 7, 2015 Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

**Request for Determination:** Howe, 92 Clapp Road (deck) (cont.)

Motion to continue the hearing to January 7, 2015 Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

**Enforcement:**

Walsh, 36 Brunswick Street (6'-7' fence): Ms. Walsh was present. Mr. Gallivan: received a report that there was a fence installed without a permit. Not in a velocity flood zone, but an A zone. Recently closed out an Order of Conditions. The issue is you don't want to be blocking or redirecting water. Is it minor activity or is a RDA? Mr. Snow: It would be an after-the-fact. Mr. Harding: it is more than minor. Think need a filing. Ms. Caisse: it is off the ground in some places by 3" and some places there is no gap. Ms. Walsh: before she put the fence up she went to the Building Dept., called the Planning Board asking if a permit was needed to put up the fence. Got three nos. She didn't know she had to ask Conservation. Mr. Snow: the fence is installed in a resource area, minor activity, NOI, or RDA. A RDA should be enough. Trying to prevent fences getting knocked down. Ms. Caisse: a wire fence is butted right up to your fence. File a Request for Determination.

White, 181 Edward Foster Road: Adam Brodsky and Mr. White were present

Adam Brodsky, Tim & Laura White, and Brad Holmes were present. There are compliance issues and three outstanding Orders of Conditions. In 2000 prior owner filed for a septic; 2003 Order for phragmites eradicated; 2007 Order for additions, deck and patio. Horsley and Whitten deemed the wetlands a BVW. It was historically mowed by the previous owner, then it wasn't for a few years. They have done a very successful phragmites removal, but if they don't continue to mow it will come right back. Condition states to mow semiannually. In 2007 Ivas was the wetland consultant. Large number of plants were installed for mitigation, but many did not survive. Want to resolve these issues. Showed picture from the early 1900s; 40s or 50s. Wanted a fresh look at this; asked Brad why BVW doesn't border a river, stream or anything. Certainly more of an isolated wetland, which is regulated under the local bylaw. Even if the WPA applied there is an exemption for mowing lawns. 1988 the town adopted the bylaw and the Rules & Regs were produced in the mid-90s. Essentially this should be considered grandfathered, however, we are not suggesting that we can't do something for mitigation. Brad is proposing mitigation which allows them to maintain a portion of the lawn. Basically it is restoring 15,300 sq. ft. with a detailed planting plan. This is land subject to coastal storm flowage so the plantings will be native and salt resilient; the list is on the plan with the other portion remaining lawn. Think this work could be done in response to the Enforcement Order, but not exactly sure. Unless the Commission feels a 4<sup>th</sup> Notice of Intent is required. Will submit applications for Certificates of Compliance. Some of the orders began with the Frazers, previous owners. Mr. Schmid: if we agree that it is IVW, preexisting activity would be grandfathered, is it your contention that activity would be allowed in perpetuity? When does the statue come enforceable. Only after it hadn't been mowed for a certain length of time. Talked about a pond. Want to deal with the matters at hand, rather than the pond right now. Intention is to look at that in the future. Rebored the soil for salinity testing so the plantings would survive. 1600 sq. ft. plantings. Goal is to get it done. Mr. Harding: seems it is a reasonable request. Probably have to run by Jim Toomey. Come back January 21.

392 Tilden Ave. (clearing in buffer)

**Certificate of Compliance:** Gouthro, 110 Central: OK; 7 Border Street: owe eight plantings—OK; 30 Fox Vine: As-built plan, took a couple of quick measurements; owners have been there for 20 years. Put half a dozen posts in; don't go any further. Going to submit an existing plan—OK. Lot 2 Dreamwold (aka 7 Coby's Run) No Certificate of Compliance (needs Restrictive Covenant); Borden, 7 Border, dimensions of new structure off and different, No Certificate; Mandile, 28 Tichnor Court, No Certificate (needs Restrictive Covenant); Orchard, 138 Jericho Road OK; Mazzola, 30 Inner Harbor Road, discussed earlier OK.

Glades Marsh: Martha Rheinhardt met with Adams and Ames, they want to separate restoration from Mosquito Control.

92 Marion removed dirt piles; it's in a lot better shape.

Moskowitz , 158 Border Street – Greg DeCesare kicked it up to Liz Kouloheras; sent in time line.

Haufler, Peggotty Beach Road: no response

Ayer, Gardiner Road: whole package was sent to DEP

Akerbloom, 228 Central Ave.: Didn't want to do anything this week, death in the family.

Mr. Snow: Will's Island - Mallard Decoy Club called the office; will contact them. It is in the marsh area beyond where the Stewarts are.

Scout meeting last Wednesday – 40 kids present; need a couple more cleanup projects.

Crosbie if everybody plays well, closing January 22, 2014. One of the questions that came up is when we close on Crosbie, we will not have access to Appleton Field, going to have to move fairly quickly to construct the road. Wants his son to be able to harvest fire wood. Already cut trees that he has to pick up. Also wants the "driveway" repaired. Is there a way to license him for a period of time to harvest firewood.

Kayak/canoe launch in Minor area. Tide restricted; will go out with Pat.

### **CORRESPONDENCE**

#### **November 20, 2014 – December 17, 2014**

1. Bartlett Tree Experts re: Ludwig, 14 Peggotty Beach Road. Apply herbicide treatment to driveway and stone wall to assist in controlling weeds and phragmites. Provide 2 treatments.
2. Returned Enforcement Letter from Walter Lawrence, 392 Tilden Road – no longer lives at that address (in file)
3. Planning Board re: 8 Lincoln Avenue – Stormwater Permit (to Pat for any comments)
4. Planning Board re: 50 Country Way – Subdivision Plan – several waivers sought. Comments by 12/8/14 (to Pat)
5. Recording of CofC for 68-2090 – Mazzola, 30 Inner Harbor Road (elevate) (in file)
6. Recording of OofC for 68-2521 – Mazzola, 30 Inner Harbor Road (septic) (in file)
7. Recording of OofC for 68-2524 – Mazzola, 30 Inner Harbor Road (walkway to beach) (in file)
8. Copies of abutters' notification for 160 CJCH (e-mailed him to bring the original to the hearing)
9. Planning Board Agenda for November 25, 2014
10. DCR Upcoming 2015 Massachusetts Open Space Conference.
11. Request for Superseding OofC for 68-2524 – Mazzola, 30 Inner Harbor Road (walkway) (in file)
12. DEP File #68-2526 – Nagle, 136 Old Forge Road (in file)
13. Plans from BOH re: 160 CJCH.
14. Recording of CofC for Orchard, 138 Jericho Road (in file)
15. Recording of CofC for Ahern, 245/349 Hatherly Road (in file)
16. e-mail re: 44 Crescent Ave – wall removal issue – Order #38 prohibits its removal. An Amendment will be required if it needs to come out. (in file)
17. Mass Audubon thanking the town for \$100.00
18. Recording of CofC for 68-2357 - Ferguson, 57 Kings Way (in file)
19. Liaison Positions/Board of Selectmen – Maura Curran for Conservation
20. Letter from Atty. Humphreys re: Ayer, Gardiner Road (in file)
21. Planning Board Agenda Thursday, December 11, 2014
22. Request for Superseding OofC for 68-2521 – Mazzola, 30 Inner Harbor Road (septic) (in file)
23. The Beacon
24. Wildlands News
25. Recording of CofC for McQueen, 108 Edward Foster Road (in file)
26. Request to continue the RDA for Loring, 11 Conroy Terrace (in file)
27. Request for a CofC for 68-2373 - Morris-Hipkins, 222 Central Ave. – as-built, photos, request, check (in file)
28. Planning Board re: approval of subdivision plan "Greenbush Park" at 50 Country Way
29. Request for CofC for 68-2452 – Olschan, 24 Webster Street (in file)
30. Planning Board Agenda for December 18, 2014
31. Drohan, Tocchio & Morgan, PC re: White, 181 Edward Foster Road – resolution of outstanding compliance issues (in file)
32. Merrill Review – Drainage Design Compliance with OofC – Lot 1 149 OOB (in file)
33. Request for CofC for Sheehan, 68-1029 - 30 Fox Vine Lane – just request (in file)
34. Request for CofC for Sheehan, 68-1218 - 30 Fox Vine Lane – just request (in file)
35. AMENDMENT - Proposed improvements for 111 Old Oaken Bucket Road – 68-1979
36. DEP File #68-2527 – DPW, Glades Road (in file)

Meeting adjourned 9:45 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Carol Logue, Secretary